

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

the state of the s				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,442	12/23/2004	Juha Kallio	59864.01052	5666
32294 7590 09/05/2007 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 14TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			MEHRPOUR, NAGHMEH	
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	•					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/517,442	KALLIO, JUHA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Naghmeh Mehrpour	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Au	ugust 2007.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·— . · · · ·) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 28-57 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 28-57 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition are accomposition. Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date				

Application/Control Number: 10/517,442

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/22/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 28-52, 54-57, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brescia et al. (US patent 7,068,189 B2).

Application/Control Number: 10/517,442

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claims 1, 41, 53-56, Brescia inherently teaches a communication for providing event specific profile to mobile terminal (See figure 1, 24) comprising:

determining at least one capability of a mobile terminal (col 3 lines 5-59); an application server associated with the event (col 3 lines 44-59, col 6 lines 49-67);

a mobile communication network for receiving even specific characterizes from the application server (col 3 lines 19-59); and

at least one mobile terminal for connection in the network (0048, figure 1); wherein the mobile network notifies the application server if the mobile terminal is associated with the server transmits the event specific profile to the mobile terminal (col 3 lines 5-67).

Regarding claims 29, 42, Brescia teaches a method wherein the profile includes a collection of user setting or preferences (col 4 lines 14-67).

Regarding claims 30, 43, Brescia teaches a method wherein the profile includes user manageable settings (col 4 lines 14-67).

Regarding claims 31, 44, Brescia teaches a method wherein the profile includes a custom or event specific profile package (col 3 lines 44-66).

Regarding claims 32, 45, 57, Brescia teaches a method wherein the step of installing the profile in the mobile station (col 1 lines 38-54).

Regarding claims 33, 46, 48, Brescia teaches a method wherein the event is a location dependent event, the step of detecting an association of a mobile terminal with the event comprising detecting a location of the mobile (col 1 lines 54-66),

Regarding claims 34, 47, Brescia teaches a method wherein the event is a time dependent, the step of detecting an association of a mobile terminal with the detecting the location of mobile within a predetermined time period (col 4 lines 2-35).

Regarding claim 35, Brescia teaches a method wherein the predetermined period correspond to duration of the event (col 4 lines 2-35).

Regarding claim 36, Brescia teaches a method comprising removing the profile from the mobile responsive to the termination of the event (col 1 lines 39-67, col 2 lines 1-19).

Regarding claim 38, Brescia teaches a method wherein removing step comprising transmitting a termination signal to the mobile terminal responsive to which the profile is deleted (col 1 lines 39-59).

Application/Control Number: 10/517,442

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 39, Brescia teaches a method wherein the profile includes a link to event specific information (col 1 lines 39-59).

Regarding claim 40, Brescia teaches a method wherein the profile includes a link to an instant community (col 1 lines 39-59).

Regarding claim 49, Brescia teaches an application server wherein the second communication interface receives details of a mobile located in the location (col 1 lines 39-59).

Regarding claim 50, Brescia inherently teaches an application server wherein the details include the identity of the mobile (col 7 lines 34-62).

Regarding claim 51, Brescia teaches an application server wherein the first and the second communication interfaces are a fixed line communication interface (see figure 1, (col 1 lines 39-59, col 3 lines 5-33).

Regarding claim 52, Brescia teaches an application server wherein the third communication interface is a mobile communication interface (figure 1, col 3 lines 5-33).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 6

3. Claim 53, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brescia et al. (US patent 7,068,189 B2) in view of Murto et al. (US Patent 7,249,100 B2).

Regarding claim 53, Brescia fails to teach an application server wherein the third communication interface is a GPRS or Bluetooth interface. However, Murto teaches an application server wherein the third communication interface is a GPRS or Bluetooth interface (col 6 lines 27-58, col 6 lines 19-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the above teaching of Murto with Brescia, in order to allow the user to select an item from the returned business list message and drill-down in the selected business entity data using base station triangulation connection through a Bluetooth net.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 4/13/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The references made herein are done so for the convenience of the applicant. They are in no way meant to limit the reference. The reference MUST be considered in its entirety.

In response to the applicant's argument that Brescia fails to teach "transmitting the profile to the mobile, the information is send to the selected device not the server".

The Examiner asserts that Brescia provides delivering information, such as a notification or other content, to a select communication device when defined event and location criteria are satisfied. A profile is established to define the event and location criteria along with a method for delivering the information. Typically, the receipt of event indicia indicating the occurrence of an event is compared with the event criteria in the profile. When the event indicia satisfies the event criteria, location indicia is gathered and compared with the defined location criteria. An event occurrence may be time based, location based, user initiated, or initiated by an external medium, such as a mobile terminal, communication control devices within the communication network, or an event service. A content service may be used to provide content when select event and location indicia correlate with event and location criteria defined by a profile. The content may include any type of information desired by a user and defined in the profile. Further providing notifications defined in a profile or provided with an event trigger, and therefore, would not need the services of a remote content service. Based on select criteria, the system is capable of delivering information, such as notifications and content, to any number of devices, which are typically serviced by a circuit-switched network. These devices may include, but are not limited to, traditional land line telephones, computers, mobile terminals, such as personal digital assistants (PDAs), mobile telephones, pagers, and the like. In addition to devices serviced by circuit-switched networks, wireless

packet-switched devices, such as properly configured mobile telephones, may communicate with a traditional packet-switched network via wireless packet-switched networks. In the latter case, information is communicated between the wireless packet-switched device and devices on the packet-switched network without conversion to a circuit-switched format, such as the traditional time-division multiplexing (TDM).

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., profile recited as such as the "look and feel" of the user) are not recited in the rejected claims). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

5. Any responses to this action should be mailed to:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naghmeh Mehrpour whose telephone number is 571-272-7913. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Appiah be reached (571) 272-7904.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NM

August 31, 2007

AGHMEH MELMFOUR